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#### Abstract

The article presents a new algorithm of accelerated multiplication, in which the time of multiplication has been reduced through the decrease in the number of nonzero digits of the multiplier. In this case, the multiplier has been presented in the form of the extended binary code. The article proves the algorithm's efficiency in comparison to previously known methods. The developed algorithm has been implemented using the hardware description language AHDL (Altera Hardware Description Language) in the Logic Development System MAX+PLUS II.


Index Terms: extended binary code, accelerated multiplication device, AHDL, MAX+plus.

## I. INTRODUCTION

As the analysis of the time of execution of certain arithmetic operations in the computer shows, the time spent on multiplication makes up $70-80 \%$ of the total time spent on this operation. Therefore, the development of the accelerated multiplication algorithms, which reduces the execution time, is essential [1-3].

The easiest logical way to accelerate multiplication is to skip adding steps in cases where in binary numeral system the following digit of the multiplier is zero.

The most effective and logical way to accelerate multiplication is to use addition and subtraction operations, also called the method of a sequential transformation of the multiplier numbers. In this case, the multiplier is transformed into the extended binary code (binary quasi-canonical number system) with numbers $\{-1,0,1\}$. Thus, to choose the one that contains the minimum number of non-zero digits $\{-1,1\}$ from the possible representations of the multiplier. For this purpose, the group composed of $m \geq 2$ items represented as $94 \underline{K} \mathrm{~B}^{0}$ is converted into the group represented like $1402 \bar{B}^{0}$, where $\overline{1}=-1$. In this case, the number that is multiplied is added to the sum of partial products, if the next digit of the converted multiplier is equal to 1 , and subtract or add a complement code of multiplication if the digit of the converted multiplier is equal to $\overline{1}$.

## II. KEY METHODS OF MULTIPLICATION PROCESS ACCELERATION

The key methods of accelerated multiplication with the use of the extended binary code are as follows [1, 2, 4]:
accelerated logical multiplication with the recoding of the multiplier by two digits, and by analyzing two digits of the initial multiplier and transposition, starting with the lower-order digit (algorithm A);
accelerated logical multiplication with recoding of the multiplier by two digits and by analyzing three digits of the initial multiplier, starting with the highest digits (algorithm B). Note that algorithm B is also called the modified Booth's algorithm [5].

Note that for the conversion of the multiplier with the corresponding algorithms separate tables were developed (see tables 1, 3). However, the multipliers converted with the help of these algorithms may differ in the quantity of non-zero digits (while the quantity of non-zero digits is not always minimal). This is demonstrated by the example given below.

To obtain a converted multiplier with a minimum quantity of nonzero digits, we have developed a new algorithm:
the conversion of the multiplier is realized by analyzing two digits of the multiplier and the transposition from the lower-order digits. As a result we get one digit of the converted multiplier and a transposition.

The conversion algorithm C is carried out using Table 5. Note that after the conversion of the multiplier, the multiplication algorithm can be implemented both with processing one and two digits, as well as for the digits of both lower-order and higher-order.

## A. ALGORITHM A

The conversion of a multiplier into an extended binary code is performed according to the algorithm A. The denominations are used in Table 1:
$\bar{y}_{i} \bar{y}_{i+1}$ - converted digits of the multiplier Y ;
$p_{i+1}$ - transposition, that already exists (its initial value is 0 );
$p_{i}$ - the new value of the transposition;
$L 1 X$ - the shift of the multiplied number $X$ one digit to the left;
$(-X)_{D K}^{m}-$ modified complement code of the multiplied number $X$;

R2S - the adder's $S$ digits shift two digits to the right;

R2Y - the converted multiplier Yp digits shift two digits to the right.

Example 1. To recode the multiplier $\mathrm{Y}=00.11011110$ using Table 1 , starting with the lowerorder digits $y_{7} y_{8}$. The initial value of the transposition is $p_{8}=0$. The end value of the transposition is $p_{0}=1$, which is assigned to the higher-order digit of the converted multiplier $Y p_{0}=1$.

The classical logical algorithm for conversion of the multiplier's digits with the analysis of two digits in pairs and the transposition, starting with the lower-order digits gives the result, shown in the Table 2. Thus, a result with a smaller (sometimes with a minimum) quantity of nonzero digits may be obtained via simplification of the combination $\overline{1} 1 \rightarrow 0 \overline{1}$.

## B. ALGORITHM B

Let us analyze the process of the multiplier conversion into an extended binary code following the algorithm $B$.

In Tabble 2 we observe that the initial multiplier $\mathrm{Y}=00.11011110$ contains 6 non-zero digits. While it is converted according to the algorithm $A$ then the multiplier $Y_{p}$ contains 5 non-zero digits and the minimized multiplier $Y_{\text {min }}$ contains 3 non-zero digits.

Table 3 provides the analysis of three digits at a time $y_{i} y_{i+1} y_{i+2}$ for the given multiplier Y. As a result there appear two new digits $\bar{y}_{i} \bar{y}_{i+1}$ of the converted multiplier $Y_{p}$; Besides, the denominations mentioned above, the following denominations are introduced:

L2S - the adder`s S digits shift two digits to the right;

L2Y - the given multiplier's Y digits shift two digits to the left.

Note that in analyzing the last pair of digits of the multiplier, the value of the neighboring digit on the right side is assumed equal to 0 .

Example 2. To recode the multiplier $\mathrm{Y}=00.11011110$ using Table 3 , starting with the higher-order digits $y_{-1} y_{0} y_{1}=00.1$.

From the obtained table it may be seen that the resulting converted multiplier has one digit less than in the previous case, but it is not minimal in the quantity of non-zero digits.

The conversion algorithm B for the multiplier digits $\mathrm{Y}=00.11011110$ with the analysis of three digits at a time, starting with the higher-order digits provides the result given in table 4. Thus, a result with a smaller (sometimes with a minimum) number of non-zero digits may be obtained via simplification of the combination $\overline{1} 1 \rightarrow 0 \overline{1}$.

Table 1
Conversion of the multiplier according to the algorithm $A$

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Transposition } \\ p_{i+1}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Pair of digits under } \\ \text { analysis } y_{i} y_{i+1}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Converted pair } \\ \text { of digits } \bar{y}_{i} \bar{y}_{i+1}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Following } \\ \text { transposition } p_{i}\end{array}$ | Remarks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 00 |  | 00 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 0 | 01 |  | 01 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 0 | 10 | A | 10 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{S}+L 1 X, \mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 0 | 11 |  | $0 \overline{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{S}+(-X)_{D K}^{m}, \mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |$]$

Table 2
Conversion of the multiplier $Y=\mathbf{0 0 . 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0}$ according to the algorithm $A$

|  | Quantity of non-zero digits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Quit numbe |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Y | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\min }$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 3 |

Table 3
Conversion of the multiplier according to the algorithm B

| Pair of digits under analysis $y_{i} y_{i+1}$ | Neighboring digit to the right $y_{i+2}$ | Converted pair of digits: $\bar{y}_{i} \bar{y}_{i+1}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0 | 00 | S:=L2S , L2Y |
| 01 | 0 | 01 | S:=S+X , S:=L2S , L2Y |
| 10 | 0 | $\overline{1} 0$ | $\mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{S}+(-L 1 X)_{D K}^{m}, \mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~L} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 11 | 0 | $0 \overline{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{S}+(-X)_{D K}^{m}, \mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~L} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 00 | 1 | 01 | $\mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}$, L2Y |
| 01 | 1 | 10 | S:=S+L1X, S:=L2S , L2Y |
| 10 | 1 | 01 | $\mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{S}+(-X)_{D K}^{m}, \mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~L} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 11 | 1 | 00 | $\mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~L} 2 \mathrm{Y}$ |

## D. ALGORITHM C

In the proposed algorithm C , the conversion is carried out by analyzing two digits of the multiplier at a time and transposition from the lower-order digits and obtaining a single digit of the transformed multiplier and transposition. Transfer to the lower-order (right) digit is equal to zero. Further on it is predetermined by the Table 5. The last transposition is recorded to the higher-order (in this case to 0 ) digit of the converted multiplier. Here:

R1S - the adder`s S digits shift one digit to the right;
R1Y - the converted multiplier's $Y_{p}$ digits shift one digit to the right.

This logical algorithm for conversion of multiplier's digits with the analysis of two digits at a time, starting with the lower-order digits and the transposition, provides the result given in Table 6. It contains a minimal quantity of non-zero digits.

Example 3. To recode the multiplier $\mathrm{Y}=00.11011110$ using Table 5, starting with the lowerorder digits $y_{7} y_{8}$. The initial value of the transposition is $p_{8}=0$. The resulting value of the transposition is $p_{0}=1$, which is assigned to the higher-order digit of the converted multiplier, is $Y p_{0}=1$.

From the obtained Table it may be deduced that the converted multiplier has two digits less than in case of the algorithm A , one digit less than while using the algorithm B , and it is the minimum possible for the quantity of non-zero digits for the present multiplier Y.

For control, we provided an illustration in the form of the stepwise conversion of the multiplier according to the algorithm C using the formulas below. Particular attention should be paid to the fact that the transposition $P_{-1}=0$ may not be calculated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{\text {st }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=8, Y_{7} Y_{8}=10, P_{8}=0 \Rightarrow Y p_{8}=0, P_{7}=0 \\
& 2^{\text {nd }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=7, Y_{6} Y_{7}=11, P_{7}=0 \Rightarrow Y p_{7}=\overline{1}, P_{7}=1 \\
& 3^{\text {rd }} \text { step: k}=6, Y_{5} Y_{6}=11, P_{6}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{6}=0, P_{5}=1 \\
& 4^{\text {th }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=5, Y_{4} Y_{5}=11, P_{5}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{5}=0, P_{4}=1 \\
& 5^{\text {th }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=4, Y_{3} Y_{4}=01, P_{4}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{4}=0, P_{3}=1 \\
& 6^{\text {th }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=3, Y_{2} Y_{3}=10, P_{3}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{3}=\overline{1}, P_{2}=1 \\
& 7^{\text {th }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=2, Y_{1} Y_{2}=11, P_{2}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{2}=0, P_{1}=1 \\
& 8^{\text {th }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=1, Y_{0} Y_{1}=01, P_{1}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{1}=0, P_{0}=1 \\
& 9^{\text {th }} \text { step: } \mathrm{k}=1, Y_{-1} Y_{0}=00, P_{0}=1 \Rightarrow Y p_{0}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## III. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACCELERATED MULTIPLICATION ACCORDING TO THE ABOVE MENTIONED ALGORITHMS

The implementation of the accelerated multiplication is illustrated for each of the considered algorithms on the examples.

Example 4. To find the product of multiplication for: $\mathrm{X}=0.1101, \mathrm{Y}=0.1101111$.

Solution. The way of obtaining this product using each of the algorithms mentioned above is illustrated.

## Table 4

Conversion of the multiplier $Y=\mathbf{0 0 . 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0}$ according to the algorithm $B$

|  | Digit number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quantity of non-zero digits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| $p_{i}$ |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Y | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 6 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 |  | 4 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\min }$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 |  | 3 |

Conversion of the multiplier according to the algorithm $C$

| No. | $Y_{k-1}$ | $Y_{k}$ | $P_{k}$ |  | $Y_{p k} ? ?$ | $P_{k-1}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\Rightarrow$ | 0 | 1 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{S}+(-X)_{D K}^{m}, \mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | $\mathrm{~S}:=\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{Y}$ |

Table 6
Conversion of the multiplier $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{0 0 . 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0}$ according to the algorithm $\mathbf{C}$

|  | Quantity of non-zero digits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| $p_{i}$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Y | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 3 |

Table 7
Implementation of the accelerated multiplication according to the algorithm $A$

| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Remarks | $\downarrow$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {sign }}$ | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | + L1X |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | R2S $\rightarrow$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 \overline{1}$ | +Xdm |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | R2S $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | + L1X |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | R2S $\rightarrow$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| $0 \overline{1}$ | $+X d m$ |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
|  | R2S $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 01 | $+X$ |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## E. ALGORITHM A

Let us create a modified complement code $\mathrm{Xdm}=$ $=11.0011$ of the number $\mathrm{X}=-00.1101$ and $\mathrm{L} 1 \mathrm{X}=01.101$ that is a shifted number on one digit to the left of the number $\mathrm{X}=0.1101$. Using Table 1 for the multiplier $\mathrm{Y}=0.1101111$ we get the converted multiplier: $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}=01$. $0 \overline{1} \quad 100 \overline{1} \quad 10$. To fulfill the multiplication we use Table 7, wherein the columns $\mathrm{P}_{\text {sign }}$ there are entered significant digits, and in the columns marked by the symbol $\downarrow$ there are entered the number 1 of transposition, which are being neglected.

## F. ALGORITHM B

According to Table 3 for the multiplier $\mathrm{Y}=0.1101111$ the converted multiplier is $Y_{p}=01.0 \overline{1} 100 \overline{1} 10$. While
finding a solution for the specified product, we use the numbers: $\mathrm{RX}=00.000000001101$ - for the preservation of X; L1RX=00.000000011010 - for the preservation of X shifted one digit to the left; RXdm=11.111111110011 for the preservation of the complement code; L1RXdm= 11.111111100110 - for the preservation of the complement code shifted one digit to the left. For multiplication, we use Table 8.

## G. ALGORITHM C

While implementing the accelerated multiplication we use the following numbers: $\mathrm{RX}=001101$ - for the preservation of X; RXdm=110011 - for preservation of the complement code and the table of conversion of the multiplier into extended binary code.

Table 8
Implementation of the accelerated multiplication according to the algorithm $B$

| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Remarks | $\downarrow$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {sign }}$ | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01 | +RX |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | S |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | $\leftarrow \mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $0 \overline{1}$ | +RXdm |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $\leftarrow$ L2S |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | +L1RX |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | S |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $\leftarrow$ L2S |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 00 | $\leftarrow \mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\overline{1} 0$ | +L1RXdm |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}=$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 9
Implementation of accelerated multiplication according to the algorithm $\mathbf{C}$

| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Remarks } \\ \hline \text { PS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\downarrow$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {sign }}$ |  | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{1}$ | +RXdm |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | R1S $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| $\overline{1}$ | +RXdm |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | +RX |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 10
Modification of the algorithm $C$

| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Remarks | $\downarrow$ | P sign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01 | + RX |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | S |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 00 | $\leftarrow$ L4S |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\overline{1} 0$ | L1RXdm |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 00 | $\leftarrow$ L4S |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\overline{1} 0$ | L1RXdm |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}=$ S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

To fulfill multiplication, we use Table 9. Example 5. Use the converted multiplier according to the third algorithm of the accelerated multiplication, to find the product of numbers: $\mathrm{X}=0.1101, \mathrm{Y}=0.1101111$ by
multiplication with the processing of two multiplication digits at a time, starting with the higher-order digits.

Solution. The implementation process of this algorithm is illustrated by Table 10 .


Fig. 1. Comparison of the number of non-zero digits converted by different methods

As it may be seen, the latest implementation of the accelerated multiplication requires the smallest, in comparison with other implementations, quantity of additions and displacements, which results in the reduction of the time spent for the transaction.

## IV. COMPARISON OF THE METHODS EFFECTIVENESS

We will calculate the quantity of non-zero digits in the unmodified multiplier and multipliers converted according to other three methods, for all numbers from 1 to $11111111=255$. The calculation results are shown in Figure 1 with step $K=16$, where $S$ is the quantity of non-zero digits in the unconverted multiplier; SA, SB, SC - are the quantities of the non-zero digits in the unconverted multiplier gained as a result of the methods A, B, C correspondingly. In general, all numbers from 1 to $11111111=255$ contain 1024 non-zero digits, and those converted by methods A, B, C
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contain 853,896 and 796 non-zero digits, respectively. It is obvious, the smaller is the quantity of non-zero digits, the less time is spent on the implementation of operations. From Figure 1, it may be deduced that the algorithm C proposed by us in this article is the most effective.
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